

Guiding Regulatory and Institutional Reforms:

The Lisbon Charter's relevance

for African reforms

João Simão Pires

- Executive Director
- Portuguese Water Partnership
 - on behalf of
 - Jaime Melo Baptista
 - **Research-Coordinator**
- National Laboratory of Civil Engineering (LNEC), Portugal
- Water and Development Congress & Exhibition International Water Association (IWA) Jordan 18–22 October 2015



Why do people face such different water supply and sanitation conditions around the world?





Overview of types of urban water service providers

Infrastructure type	Operator description	Institutional forms
Fixed networks	 Fully integrated utilities drawing water from public water sources and/ or public/private wells (or boreholes) or desal facilities Private or communal sub-networks, connected to greater public networks 	 Public: fully integrated public utilities Private for-profit: variety of PPP arrangements Non-profit: formal non-profit network providers
Point sources	 Private or communal well (or borehole) Public standpipes Fixed location vendor selling water sourced from network or standpipe (or packaged water) 	 Public: public standpipes Private for-profit: private well or borehole; fixed location vendors Non-profit: communal well or borehole
Mobile operators	 Mobile tanker water sourced from private well (or borehole), standpipe or network; mobile collection of septic tank effluent or sludge 	 Public: public tankers for water supply and/ or effluent collection Private for-profit: private mobile tanker operators



Key drivers of sector structure and water service governance – fundamental linkages





- Several international initiatives:
 - UN resolution 64/292 of 2010 recognizing water and sanitation as a human right.
 - UN Sustainable Development Goals approved in 2015.

An operator's perspective:

- Explicit urban water service regulation is a growing phenomenon globally.
- International Financial Institutions are equally promoting regulatory institutional frameworks as a key element to ensure the "bankability" and financial sustainability of water sector investment.
- In any case, may utilities face excessive political interference impeding:
 - managerial autonomy and accountability;
 - the ability to plan and manage beyond the one-year budget cycle; and
 - the social legitimacy and political backing required for reasobable cost recovery.



- Preamble:
 - The number of countries with regulators is increasing.
 - In September 2014, the First International Regulators Forum, jointly organized by IWA and ERSAR, brought together in Lisbon 100 out of 164 water service regulators.
 - A second edition of the Forum has already taken place recently in London.





IWA decided to approve a Lisbon Charter:

- With the <u>principles</u> for good public policy and effective regulation of water services;
- Declaring the respective rights, duties and responsibilities of the governments and public administration, regulatory authorities, service providers, and users.



- Governments must create a public policy with the necessary conditions to attend the population.
- Principles for public policy:
 - Water services are <u>essential for sustainable development</u>, with major implications for the quality of life, environment and public health
 - The provision of water services should preserve <u>accountability and</u> <u>transparency</u>.
 - Service provision should take into account the <u>financial</u>, <u>social and</u> <u>environmental aspects</u>.
 - Effective service provision relies upon the <u>collective actions</u> of interdependent stakeholders: governments; regulators; operators; end-users/ citizens.



Public policies for water services: Lisbon Charter highlights



- Adoption of <u>strategic plans</u> for the sector:
 - Formulation of national strategies with stable implementation.
 - Definition of goals & measures.
 - Annual monitoring of implementation and public reporting.
- Definition of the <u>legislative framework</u>:
 - Approving legislation (framework for services and regulation, tariffs, quality of service, water quality, etc.).
 - Clarification of rules governing the sector.
 - Issuing regularly sound recommendations for the sector.
- Definition of the <u>institutional framework</u>:
 - Definition of responsibilities of owner and operator of the service.
 - Definition of responsibilities of authorities on services, environment, water resources, public health, and competition.



- Definition of the <u>governance</u> of the services:
 - Public, private or public-private governance.
 - Political decisions must be based on sound studies.
 - Healthy competition between models.
- Definition of <u>quality of service</u> targets:
 - Quality of service based on performance indicators.
 - Annual assessment of the quality of service for each operator.
 - Annual benchmarking between operators.
 - Assessment of the evolution for each indicator.
- Promoting water quality for human consumption
 - Monitoring compliance in real time.
 - Annual assessment of the water quality for each operator.
 - Annual benchmarking between operators.
 - Assessment of the evolution of the water quality on time.



- Definition of the <u>tariff policy</u>:
 - Promoting (a trend toward) full cost recovery.
 - Promotion of efficient and affordable tariffs + social tariffs.
 - Annual assessment of economic performance of operators.
 - Annual benchmarking between operators.
 - Assessment of the evolution of the performance.
- Mobilization and management of <u>financial</u> <u>resources</u>:
 - Large investments in water infrastructure
 - Securing a sustainable "3 T" cost recovery formula to ensure "financiability/ bankability" of the sector
 - Management of important ODA resources.



- Improving the <u>structural efficiency</u>:
 - "Corporatization/ professionalization" of operators.
 - Aggregate of local operators resulting in a smaller number of larger and modern regional operators.
- Improving the <u>operational efficiency</u>:
 - Improve of efficiency by the utilities (commercial water losses, physical water losses, energy efficiency, human resources, etc.).
- Human resources <u>capacity building</u>:
 - Skilled human resources.
 - Experience on planning, design, financing, construction and operation of water infrastructures with appropriate technologies.



- Promotion of research and development:
 - Activity on research and development with increasing links between university and industry.
 - Increasing participation in international R&D projects.
- Development of the <u>economic activity</u>:
 - Improving the development of a water industry cluster.
 - Generating new activities with the creation of employment.
- Introduction of <u>competition</u>:
 - Competition encourages innovation and technical progress.
 - In the case of natural or legal monopolies, it must be promoted via virtual competition, ex. through benchmarking.
 - In the case of private involvement, "competition for the market" (tender procedures for the allocation of delegations, concessions and the provision of services).



- Protection, awareness and involvement of <u>users</u>:
 - Assessment of the economic accessibility to the service based on an indicator of affordability.
 - Creation of tools to protect consumers in general.
 - Right to be served when the public system is available.
 - Right to be served within few days of subscription request.
 - Right to have a 24h x 365 days of service.
 - Creation of tools to protect poor consumers.
 - Right to benefit from a social tariff (poor families).
 - Right to benefit from a family tariff (large families).
- Provision of <u>information</u>:
 - Providing rigorous and comprehensive information to all.
 - Guaranteeing ease-of-use by less informed consumers.



- The success of a public policy:
 - The success depends on the ability to manage the implementation of all these components with a effective global and integrated approach.
- The role of the regulation:
 - Regulation should be seen as a component of public policies on water services, one out of various.
 - But has a very important role given the fact that it promotes or controls most of the remaining components.



Illustration - The results of public policy and the features of the regulatory model for water services in Portugal



Regulatory model for water services

- We can approach the regulation of the water services in <u>different ways</u>.
- In Portugal we decided to implement regulation:
 - With an integrated (holistic) approach.
 - Operating at national level (mainland).
 - Regulating all the utilities, regardless their governance model (State-owned, municipal-owned and private).
 - Adopting a collaborative and pedagogic approach.



Portugal: key indicators on water services







1993 - 2015

81% ⇒ 95%

Access to water supply

50% ⇒ 98,2%

Safe water: fully monitored and in compliance

630 ⇒ 8

Hepatites A incidents

Portugal: key indicators on water services



Pollution abatement:





1993 - 2015

Wastewater collection and treatment

22% ⇔ 100%

Waste management



Water body quality









Portugal: key indicators on water services



Environmental services:

1993 - 2015







Costal water quality









17% ⇒ 95%

Inland river beach quality

89 ⇔ 289 87 ⇔ 293

Coastal beach quality



Regulatory model for water services

 The Portuguese Regulatory Integrated Approach (RITA-ERSAR regulation model):

Structural regulation of the sector

Contribution to the organization of the sector

Contribution to the legislation of the sector

Contribution to information on the sector and service provision

Contribution to capacity building in the sector

Regulation of operator behavior

Legal and contractual regulation

Economic regulation

Quality of service regulation

Drinking water quality regulation

User interface regulation



Principles of effective regulatory frameworks:

- Regulation should be a part of public policies;
- Ensure that all contributors to the service have <u>clear</u> objectives and means of action;
- Ensure an integrated regulatory approach;
- Ensure an adequate level of <u>institutional</u>, <u>functional</u> and <u>financial independence</u>;
- Providing <u>separation between technical and political</u> <u>dimensions;</u>
- Ensure <u>accountability and public scrutiny</u> of activity;
- Promote the <u>constitution of a competitive market;</u>
- Fosters a <u>culture of comply standards and good</u> <u>practices;</u>
- Contribute to modernisation of public administration.



Regulatory model for water services

The success of regulation depends on the ability to manage the implementation of its components, ensuring an effective regulatory integrated approach

(ex. RITA-ERSAR model)





Final remarks

Final remarks



- Based on 12 years of experience in Portugal, we can identify positive impacts of regulation:
 - It is a powerful tool for an effective "public policy".
 - It promotes or controls most of the components of the "public policy".
 - It promotes short / medium / long term technical approach instead of short term politic approach.
 - It guaranties stability across time.
 - It introduces efficiency and effectiveness.
 - It guaranties more harmonization in the sector.
 - It guaranties independence from stakeholders.
 - It guaranties more transparency in the sector.
- A Regulatory Integrated Approach with a "collaborative" and "pedagogic" environment can be an effective model.



Thank you for your attention! jmbaptista@lnec.pt jsp@ppa.pt





Welcome to the world of water services regulation